

Audit & Risk Management Committee Meeting Agenda

19 March 2024 3.30pm



Shire of Dalwallinu

NOTICE OF MEETING

NOTICE is hereby given that the next Audit & Risk Management Committee Meeting of Council of the Shire of Dalwallinu will be held on Tuesday, 19 March 2024 in the Council Chambers, Dalwallinu commencing at 3.30pm.

_					
•	ıσı	n	Δ.	ิ	•
_	_		_	u	

14/03/2024

Jean Knight

Date

Chief Executive Officer

DISCLAIMER

No responsibility whatsoever is implied or accepted by the Shire of Dalwallinu for any act, omission or statement or intimation occurring during Council/Committee meetings or during formal/informal conversations with staff. The Shire of Dalwallinu disclaims any liability for any loss whatsoever caused arising out of reliance by any person or legal entity on any such act, omission or statement or intimation occurring during Council/Committee meetings or discussions. Any person or legal entity that acts or fails to act in reliance upon any statement does so at that person's and or legal entity's own risk.

In particular and without derogating in any way from the broad disclaimer above, in any discussion regarding any planning application or application for license, any statement or limitation or approval made by a member of officer of the Shire of Dalwallinu during the course of any meeting is not intended to be and is not taken as notice of approval from the Shire of Dalwallinu. The Shire of Dalwallinu warns that anyone who has an application lodged with the Shire of Dalwallinu must obtain and only should rely on WRITTEN CONFIRMATION of the outcome of the application and any conditions attaching to the decision made by the Shire of Dalwallinu in respect of the application.



1	OPENING & ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS	3
2	ANNOUNCEMENTS OF PRESIDING MEMBER	3
3	ATTENDANCE RECORD	3
3.1	Present	3
3.2	Apologies	3
3.3	Leave of Absence Previously Granted	3
4	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	3
5	MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS	3
5.1	Audit & Risk Management Committee Meeting – 12 December 2023	3
6	REPORTS	4
6.1	Shire of Dalwallinu Compliance Audit Return review*	4
6.2	Shire of Dalwallinu Audit & Risk Management Committee Risk Dashboard Report*	6
7	SCHEDULING OF MEETING	8
8	CLOSURE	8



SHIRE OF DALWALLINU

AGENDA for the Audit & Risk Management Committee Meeting of Council to be held in the Council Chambers, Shire Administration Centre, Dalwallinu on Tuesday 19 March 2024 at 3.30pm.

1	OPENING &	ANNOUNCEMENT	OF VISITORS
---	----------------------	---------------------	--------------------

The Chairperson opened the meeting at _____am.

2 **ANNOUNCEMENTS OF PRESIDING MEMBER**

- 3 ATTENDANCE RECORD
- 3.1 Present

Mr I Hyde Cr KL Carter Cr NW Mills Cr MM Harms

Acting Chief Executive Officer Mrs HK Jolly

Executive Assistant

Mrs DJ Whitehead

3.2 Apologies

Cr SC Carter

3.3 **Leave of Absence Previously Granted**

Nil

4 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

- **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS**
- 5.1 Audit & Risk Management Committee Meeting – 12 December 2023

MOTION

Moved Cr Seconded Cr

That the Minutes of the Audit & Risk Management Committee Meeting held on the 12 December 2023 be confirmed.

0/0



6 REPORTS

6.1 Shire of Dalwallinu Compliance Audit Return review*

Report Date 19 March 2024 **Applicant** Shire of Dalwallinu

File Ref GO/4 – Compliance Audit Return

Previous Meeting Reference Nil

Prepared by Hanna Jolly, Manager Corporate Services
Supervised by Jean Knight, Chief Executive Officer

Disclosure of interest Nil

Voting Requirements Simple Majority

Attachments Local Government Compliance Audit Return 2023 Questions

Purpose of Report

The Audit Committee is requested to review the Compliance Audit Return (CAR) as presented for the year ended 31 December 2023.

Background

The Shire is required to carry out an annual audit of statutory compliance in accordance with Regulation 14 of the *Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996*. The Department of Local Government, Sports and Cultural Industries distributed a Compliance Audit Return for the period of 1 January to 31 December 2023 which has been followed to complete the return.

The Audit Committee is to review the compliance audit return and report to council the results of that review.

Consultation

Chief Executive Officer

Legislative Implications

<u>State</u>

Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996 s14 and s15

Policy Implications

Nil

Financial Implications

Nil

Strategic Implications

Nil

Site Inspection

Site inspection undertaken: Not applicable

Triple Bottom Line Assessment

Economic implications

There are no known significant economic implications associated with this proposal.



Social implications

There are no known significant social implications associated with this proposal.

Environmental implications

There are no known significant environmental implications associated with this proposal.

Officer Comment

The Completed Compliance Audit Return for the period 1 January to 31 December 2023 is attached to this item for Audit Committee's consideration and review.

No items of non-compliance was identified during the review.

Following Council's adoption, the 2023 Compliance Audit Return must be submitted to the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries by 31 March 2024.

Officer Recommendation

That the Audit Committee:

- 1. Review the Compliance Audit Return for the period 1 January 2023 to 31 December 2023 and report to Council at the March 2024 Ordinary Council Meeting;
- 2. Requests that Council authorise the Shire President and Chief Executive Officer to sign the completed Compliance Audit Return and submit to the Department of Local Government, Sport & Cultural Industries by 31 March 2024.

Officer Recommendation/Committee Resolution

MOTION			
Moved Seconded	Cr		
Seconded	Cr		
			0/0





Dalwallinu – Compliance Audit Return

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments
1	s3.59(2)(a) F&G Regs 7,9,10	Has the local government prepared a business plan for each major trading undertaking that was not exempt in 2023?	N/A	
2	s3.59(2)(b) F&G Regs 7,8A, 8, 10	Has the local government prepared a business plan for each major land transaction that was not exempt in 2023?	N/A	
3	s3.59(2)(c) F&G Regs 7,8A, 8,10	Has the local government prepared a business plan before entering into each land transaction that was preparatory to entry into a major land transaction in 2023?	N/A	
4	s3.59(4)	Has the local government complied with public notice and publishing requirements for each proposal to commence a major trading undertaking or enter into a major land transaction or a land transaction that is preparatory to a major land transaction for 2023?	N/A	
5	s3.59(5)	During 2022, did the council resolve to proceed with each major land transaction or trading undertaking by absolute majority?	N/A	

Dele	Delegation of Power/Duty				
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	
1	s5.16 (1)	Were all delegations to committees resolved by absolute majority?	N/A	No delegations	
2	s5.16 (2)	Were all delegations to committees in writing?	N/A		
3	s5.17	Were all delegations to committees within the limits specified in section 5.17 of the Local Government Act 1995?	N/A		
4	s5.18	Were all delegations to committees recorded in a register of delegations?	N/A		
5	s5.18	Has council reviewed delegations to its committees in the 2022/2023 financial year?	N/A		
6	s5.42(1) & s5.43 Admin Reg 18G	Did the powers and duties delegated to the CEO exclude those listed in section 5.43 of the Local Government Act 1995?	Yes		



7	s5.42(1)	Were all delegations to the CEO resolved by an absolute majority?	Yes	
8	s5.42(2)	Were all delegations to the CEO in writing?	Yes	
9	s5.44(2)	Were all delegations by the CEO to any employee in writing?	Yes	
10	s5.16(3)(b) & s5.45(1)(b)	Were all decisions by the Council to amend or revoke a delegation made by absolute majority?	N/A	
11	s5.46(1)	Has the CEO kept a register of all delegations made under Division 4 of the Act to the CEO and to employees?	Yes	
12	s5.46(2)	Were all delegations made under Division 4 of the Act reviewed by the delegator at least once during the 2022/2023 financial year?	Yes	
13	s5.46(3) Admin Reg 19	Did all persons exercising a delegated power or duty under the Act keep, on all occasions, a written record in accordance with Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996, regulation 19?	Yes	

Discl	Disclosure of Interest				
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	
1	s5.67	Where a council member disclosed an interest in a matter and did not have participation approval under sections 5.68 or 5.69 of the Local Government Act 1995, did the council member ensure that they did not remain present to participate in discussion or decision making relating to the matter?	Yes		
2	s5.68(2) & s5.69(5) Admin Reg 21A	Were all decisions regarding participation approval, including the extent of participation allowed and, where relevant, the information required by the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 regulation 21A, recorded in the minutes of the relevant council or committee meeting?	Yes		
3	s5.73	Were disclosures under sections 5.65, 5.70 or 5.71A(3) of the Local Government Act 1995 recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which the disclosures were made?	Yes		
4	s5.75 Admin Reg 22, Form 2	Was a primary return in the prescribed form lodged by all relevant persons within three months of their start day?	Yes		
5	s5.76 Admin Reg 23, Form 3	Was an annual return in the prescribed form lodged by all relevant persons by 31 August 2022?	Yes		



6	s5.77	On receipt of a primary or annual return, did the CEO, or the Mayor/President, give written acknowledgment of having received the return?	Yes
7	s5.88(1) & (2)(a)	Did the CEO keep a register of financial interests which contained the returns lodged under sections 5.75 and 5.76 of the Local Government Act 1995?	Yes
8	s5.88(1) & (2)(b) Admin Reg 28	Did the CEO keep a register of financial interests which contained a record of disclosures made under sections 5.65, 5.70, 5.71 and 5.71A of the Local Government Act 1995, in the form prescribed in the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996, regulation 28?	Yes
9	s5.88(3)	When a person ceased to be a person required to lodge a return under sections 5.75 and 5.76 of the Local Government Act 1995, did the CEO remove from the register all returns relating to that person?	Yes
10	s5.88(4)	Have all returns removed from the register in accordance with section 5.88(3) of the Local Government Act 1995 been kept for a period of at least five years after the person who lodged the return(s) ceased to be a person required to lodge a return?	Yes
11	s5.89A(1), (2) & (3) Admin Reg 28A	Did the CEO keep a register of gifts which contained a record of disclosures made under sections 5.87A and 5.87B of the Local Government Act 1995, in the form prescribed in the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996, regulation 28A?	Yes
12	s5.89A(5) & (5A)	Did the CEO publish an up-to-date version of the gift register on the local government's website?	Yes
13	s5.89A(6)	When people cease to be a person who is required to make a disclosure under section 5.87A or 5.87B of the Local Government Act 1995, did the CEO remove from the register all records relating to those people?	
14	s5.89A(7)	Have copies of all records removed from the register under section 5.89A(6) of the Local Government Act 1995 been kept for a period of at least five years after the person ceases to be a person required to make a disclosure?	Yes
15	s5.70(2) & (3)	Where an employee had an interest in any matter in respect of which the employee provided advice or a report directly to council or a committee, did that person disclose the nature and extent of that interest when giving the advice or report?	Yes



16	s5.71A & s5.71B(5)	Where council applied to the Minister to allow the CEO to provide advice or a report to which a disclosure under section 5.71A(1) of the Local Government Act 1995 relates, did the application include details of the nature of the interest disclosed and any other information required by the Minister for the purposes of the application?	N/A	
17	s5.71B(6) & s5.71B(7)	Was any decision made by the Minister under section 5.71B(6) of the Local Government Act 1995, recorded in the minutes of the council meeting at which the decision was considered?	N/A	
18	s5.104(1)	Did the local government prepare and adopt, by absolute majority, a code of conduct to be observed by council members, committee members candidates that incorporates the model code of conduct?	Yes	
19	s5.104(3) & (4)	Did the local government adopt additional requirements in addition to the model code of conduct? If yes, does it comply with section 5.104(3) and (4) of the Local Government Act 1995?	N/A	
20	s5.104(7)	Has the CEO published an up-to-date version of the code of conduct for council members, committee members and candidates on the local government's website?	Yes	
21	s5.51A(1) & (3)	Has the CEO prepared and implemented a code of conduct to be observed by employees of the local government? If yes, has the CEO published an up-to-date version of the code of conduct for employees on the local government's website?	Yes	

Disp	Disposal of Property				
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	
1	s3.58(3)	Where the local government disposed of property other than by public auction or tender, did it dispose of the property in accordance with section 3.58(3) of the Local Government Act 1995 (unless section 3.58(5) applies)?	Yes		
2	s3.58(4)	Where the local government disposed of property under section 3.58(3) of the Local Government Act 1995, did it provide details, as prescribed by section 3.58(4), in the required local public notice for each disposal of property?	Yes		



Elect	Elections					
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments		
1	Elect Regs 30G(1) & (2)	Did the CEO establish and maintain an electoral gift register and ensure that all disclosure of gifts forms completed by candidates and donors and received by the CEO were placed on the electoral gift register at the time of receipt by the CEO and in a manner that clearly identifies and distinguishes the forms relating to each candidate in accordance with regulations 30G(1) and 30G(2) of the Local Government (Elections) Regulations 1997?	Yes	No gifts received.		
2	Elect Regs 30G(3) & (4)	Did the CEO remove any disclosure of gifts forms relating to an unsuccessful candidate, or a successful candidate that completed their term of office, from the electoral gift register, and retain those forms separately for a period of at least two years in accordance with regulation 30G(4) of the Local Government (Elections) Regulations 1997?	Yes			
3	Elect Regs 30G(5) & (6)	Did the CEO publish an up-to-date version of the electoral gift register on the local government's official website in accordance with regulation 30G(5) of the Local Government (Elections) Regulations 1997?	N/A	No gifts received.		

Finar	Finance				
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	
1	s7.1A	Has the local government established an audit committee and appointed members by absolute majority in accordance with section 7.1A of the Local Government Act 1995?	Yes		
2	s7.1B	Where the council delegated to its audit committee any powers or duties under Part 7 of the Local Government Act 1995, did it do so by absolute majority?	N/A		
3	s7.9(1)	Was the auditor's report for the financial year ended 30 June 2023 received by the local government by 31 December 2023?	Yes		



4	s7.12A(3)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's	N/A	
		report prepared under section 7.9(1) of the Local Government Act 1995		
		required action to be taken, did the local government ensure that appropriate		
		action was undertaken in respect of those matters?		
5	s7.12A(4)(a) &	Where matters identified as significant were reported in the auditor's report,	N/A	
	(4)(b)	did the local government prepare a report that stated what action the local		
		government had taken or intended to take with respect to each of those	ad taken or intended to take with respect to each of those	
		matters? Was a copy of the report given to the Minister within three months		
		of the audit report being received by the local government?		
6	s7.12A(5)	Within 14 days after the local government gave a report to the Minister under	Yes	
		section 7.12A(4)(b) of the Local Government Act 1995, did the CEO publish a		
		copy of the report on the local government's official website?		
7	Audit Reg 10(1)	Was the auditor's report for the financial year ending 30 June 2023 received	Yes	
		by the local government within 30 days of completion of the audit?		

Loca	Local Government Employees				
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	
1	s5.36(4) & s5.37(3) Admin Reg 18A	Were all CEO and/or senior employee vacancies advertised in accordance with Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996, regulation 18A?	N/A	No vacancies during 2023.	
2	Admin Reg 18E	Was all information provided in applications for the position of CEO true and accurate?	N/A		
3	Admin Reg 18F	Was the remuneration and other benefits paid to a CEO on appointment the same remuneration and benefits advertised for the position under section 5.36(4) of the Local Government Act 1995?	N/A		
4	s5.37(2)	Did the CEO inform council of each proposal to employ or dismiss senior employee?	Yes		
5	s5.37(2)	Where council rejected a CEO's recommendation to employ or dismiss a senior employee, did it inform the CEO of the reasons for doing so?	N/A		



Offic	Official Conduct			
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments
1	s5.120	Has the local government designated an employee to be its complaints officer?	Yes	
2	s5.121(1) & (2)	Has the complaints officer for the local government maintained a register of complaints which records all complaints that resulted in a finding under section 5.110(2)(a) of the Local Government Act 1995?	Yes	
3	S5.121(2)	Does the complaints register include all information required by section 5.121(2) of the Local Government Act 1995?	Yes	
4	s5.121(3)	Has the CEO published an up-to-date version of the register of the complaints on the local government's official website?	Yes	No complaints received

Tend	lers for Providing G	oods and Services		
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments
1	F&G Reg 11A(1) & (3)	Did the local government comply with its current purchasing policy, adopted under the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, regulations 11A(1) and (3) in relation to the supply of goods or services where the consideration under the contract was, or was expected to be, \$250,000 or less or worth \$250,000 or less?	Yes	
2	s3.57 F&G Reg 11	Subject to Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, regulation 11(2), did the local government invite tenders for all contracts for the supply of goods or services where the consideration under the contract was, or was expected to be, worth more than the consideration stated in regulation 11(1) of the Regulations?	Yes	
3	F&G Regs 11(1), 12(2), 13, & 14(1), (3), and (4)	When regulations 11(1), 12(2) or 13 of the Local Government Functions and General) Regulations 1996, required tenders to be publicly invited, did the local government invite tenders via Statewide public notice in accordance with Regulation 14(3) and (4)?	Yes	



4	F&G Reg 12	Did the local government comply with Local Government (Functions and	N/A	
•	1 40 1105 12	General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 12 when deciding to enter into	14/71	
		multiple contracts rather than a single contract?		
5	F&G Reg 14(5)	If the local government sought to vary the information supplied to tenderers,	Yes	
	1 & 0 NCg 1+(3)	was every reasonable step taken to give each person who sought copies of	163	
		the tender documents, or each acceptable tenderer notice of the variation?		
6	F&G Regs 15 &		Yes	
0	16	Did the local government's procedure for receiving and opening tenders	res	
	10	comply with the requirements of Local Government (Functions and General)		
-	F0 C D = 17	Regulations 1996, Regulation 15 and 16?	V	
7	F&G Reg 17	Did the information recorded in the local government's tender register	Yes	
		comply with the requirements of the Local Government (Functions and		
		General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 17 and did the CEO make the tenders		
		register available for public inspection and publish it on the local		
		government's official website?		
8	F&G Reg 18(1)	Did the local government reject any tenders that were not submitted at the	No	
		place, and within the time, specified in the invitation to tender?		
9	F&G Reg 18(4)	Were all tenders that were not rejected assessed by the local government via	N/A	
		a written evaluation of the extent to which each tender satisfies the criteria		
		for deciding which tender to accept?		
10	F&G Reg 19	Did the CEO give each tenderer written notice containing particulars of the	Yes	
		successful tender or advising that no tender was accepted?		
11	F&G Regs 21 &	Did the local government's advertising and expression of interest processes	N/A	
	22	comply with the requirements of the Local Government (Functions and		
		General) Regulations 1996, Regulations 21 and 22?		
12	F&G Reg 23(1)	Did the local government reject any expressions of interest that were not	N/A	
	& (2)	submitted at the place, and within the time, specified in the notice or that		
		failed to comply with any other requirement specified in the notice?		
13	F&G Reg 23(3)	Were all expressions of interest that were not rejected under the Local	N/A	
	& (4)	Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 23(1) & (2)		
		assessed by the local government? Did the CEO list each person as an		
		acceptable tenderer?		
	1			



14	F&G Reg 24	Did the CEO give each person who submitted an expression of interest a notice in writing of the outcome in accordance with Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 24?	N/A		
15	F&G Regs 24AD(2) & (4) and 24AE	Did the local government invite applicants for a panel of pre-qualified suppliers via Statewide public notice in accordance with Local Government (Functions & General) Regulations 1996 regulations 24AD(4) and 24AE?	N/A		
16	F&G Reg 24AD(6)	If the local government sought to vary the information supplied to the panel, was every reasonable step taken to give each person who sought detailed information about the proposed panel or each person who submitted an application notice of the variation?	N/A		
17	F&G Reg 24AF	Did the local government's procedure for receiving and opening applications to join a panel of pre-qualified suppliers comply with the requirements of Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 16, as if the reference in that regulation to a tender were a reference to a prequalified supplier panel application?	N/A	N/A	
18	F&G Reg 24AG	Did the information recorded in the local government's tender register about panels of pre-qualified suppliers comply with the requirements of Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 24AG?	N/A	N/A	
19	F&G Reg 24AH(1)	Did the local government reject any applications to join a panel of pre- qualified suppliers that were not submitted at the place, and within the time, specified in the invitation for applications?	N/A		
20	F&G Reg 24AH(3)	Were all applications that were not rejected assessed by the local government via a written evaluation of the extent to which each application satisfies the criteria for deciding which application to accept?	N/A		
21	F&G Reg 24AI	Did the CEO send each applicant written notice advising them of the outcome of their application?	N/A		
22	F&G Regs 24E & 24F	Where the local government gave regional price preference, did the local government comply with the requirements of Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Regulation 24E and 24F?	N/A		



No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	
1	Admin Reg 19C	Has the local government adopted by absolute majority a strategic community plan? If Yes, please provide the adoption date or the date of the most recent review in the Comments section?	Yes	26/09/2017 Currently under review	
2	Admin Reg 19DA(1) & (4)	3		19/12/2017 Currently under review	
3	Admin Reg 19DA(2) & (3)	Does the corporate business plan comply with the requirements of Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 19DA(2) & (3)?	Yes		

Optio	Optional Questions				
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	
1	Financial Management Reg 5(2)(c)	Did the CEO review the appropriateness and effectiveness of the local government's financial management systems and procedures in accordance with the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 regulations 5(2)(c) within the three financial years prior to 31 December 2023? If yes, please provide the date of council's resolution to accept the report.	Yes	Yes 28/02/2023	
2	Audit Reg 17			28/02/2023	
3	s5.87C	Where a disclosure was made under sections 5.87A or 5.87B of the Local Government Act 1995, were the disclosures made within 10 days after receipt	Yes		



Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries

		of the gift? Did the disclosure include the information required by section 5.87C of the Act?		
4	s5.90A(2) & (5)	Did the local government prepare, adopt by absolute majority and publish an up-to-date version on the local government's website, a policy dealing with the attendance of council members and the CEO at events?	Yes	
5	s5.96A(1), (2), (3) & (4)	Did the CEO publish information on the local government's website in accordance with sections 5.96A(1), (2), (3), and (4) of the Local Government Act 1995?	Yes	
6	s5.128(1)	Did the local government prepare and adopt (by absolute majority) a policy in relation to the continuing professional development of council members?	Yes	
7	s5.127	Did the local government prepare a report on the training completed by council members in the 2022/2023 financial year and publish it on the local government's official website by 31 July 2023?	Yes	
8	s6.4(3)	By 30 September 2023, did the local government submit to its auditor the balanced accounts and annual financial report for the year ending 30 June 2023?	Yes	
9	s.6.2(3)	When adopting the annual budget, did the local government take into account all its expenditure, revenue and income?	Yes	

Chief Executive Officer	Date	
Mayor/President	Date	

6.2 Shire of Dalwallinu Audit & Risk Management Committee Risk Dashboard Report*

Report Date 19 March 2024 **Applicant** Shire of Dalwallinu

File Ref FM/9 – Financial Management – Financial Reporting

Previous Meeting Reference Nil

Prepared by Hanna Jolly, Manager Corporate Services
Supervised by Jean Knight, Chief Executive Officer

Disclosure of interest Nil

Voting Requirements Simple Majority

Attachments Shire of Dalwallinu Risk Dashboard Report February 2024

Purpose of Report

The Audit & Risk Management Committee is requested to note the actions in the Risk Dashboard Report February 2024.

Background

It is essential to monitor and review the management of risks as changing circumstances may result in some risks increasing or decreasing in significance. By regularly reviewing the effectiveness and efficiency of control and appropriateness of treatment/action, it can be determined if the organisation's resources are being put to the best use possible.

Consultation

Chief Executive Officer

Legislative Implications

<u>State</u>

Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996 s16 and s17

Policy Implications

Nil

Financial Implications

Nil

Strategic Implications

Shire of Dalwallinu Strategic Community Plan 2017-2027

Outcome 4.4.5 - Strive to ensure "best practice" local governance is maintained.

Site Inspection

Site inspection undertaken: Not applicable

Triple Bottom Line Assessment

Economic implications

There are no known significant economic implications associated with this proposal.



Social implications

There are no known significant social implications associated with this proposal.

Environmental implications

There are no known significant environmental implications associated with this proposal.

Officer Comment

The Risk Dashboard Report shows a snapshot of our current recognised risks and the current issues, actions and treatments for each risk. Following the snapshot is a detailed description of each risk and the potential causes, key controls and indicators for each risk.

This report is provided to the Audit & Risk Management Committee to ensure that the organisation is monitoring and controlling all risks.

Officer Recommendation

That the Audit & Risk Management Committee receives the Risk Dashboard Report for February 2024.

Officer Recommendation/Committee Resolution

Officer Reco	nmendation/Committee Resoluti	on
MOTION		
Moved	Cr	
Seconded	Cr	
		0/0



Shire of Dalwallinu Risk Dashboard Report Feb-24

		Risk	Control
<u>Misconduct</u>		Low	Effective
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Review Purchasing Policy and Procurement Process	Mar-24	CEO/MCS	
Review and Document Organisations Controls and Systems	Ongoing	CEO/MCS	
Centralise Checklists, Controls and Procedures	Ongoing	CEO/MCS	

		Risk	Control
External Theft & Fraud		Moderate	Effective
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Review Fraud & Corruption Control Plan (review undertaken Sept 20	Sep-25	CEO	
Review Key Register Quarterly	Mar-24	TSO	

Business Disruption		Risk High	Control Effective
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Review Business Continuity Plan - Council Agenda March 2024	Jun-23	CEO	
#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	
Admin Generator maintained and serviced quarterly (if not activa	Jun-24	WS	

		Risk	Control
Damage to Physical Assets		Moderate	Effective
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
All plant to have prestarts before each use or as instructed	Ongoing	All Staff	

		Risk	Control
Errors, omissions, delays		Moderate	Effective
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Procedure Manuals to be tested regularly	Ongoing	MCS	

		Risk	Control
Failure of IT &/or Communciations Systems and Infrastructure		Moderate	Effective
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility MCS	
Staged migration from Synergy to Altus commencing 22-23	Ongoing		

		Risk	Control
Failure to fulfil statutory, regulatory or compliance r	ailure to fulfil statutory, regulatory or compliance requirements		Effective
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Corporate Governance Calendar Checklist to be monitored			
monthly	Ongoing	CEO	

		Risk	Control
Providing inaccurate advice/information		Moderate	Effective
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	

		Risk	Control
Inadequate Project/Change Management		Moderate	Effective
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Respo	nsibility

		Risk	Control
Inadequate Organisation and Community Emergency	n and Community Emergency Management		Adequate
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Lack of resources in LG		CEO	

		Risk	Control
Inadequate Document Management Processes		Moderate	Adequate
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	rent Issues/Actions/Treatments Due Date		nsibility
Undertake monthly records audits	Monthly	MCS	
Annual Refresher for all Employees on Records Management	Oct-24	CEO	

		Risk	Control
Inadequate employee and visitor safety and security		Moderate	Adequate
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Check all contractors have been inducted prior to commencing			
work	ongoing	Management	

Shire of Dalwallinu Risk Dashboard Report Feb-24

		Risk	Control
Inadequate engagement of Community/Stakeholders/Elected Members		Moderate	Adequate
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Review Communication Plan (every two years)	May-25	Cl	EO
Review Community Engagement Plan (every two years)	May-25	CI	EO

		Risk	Control
Inadequate Procurement, Disposal or Tender Prac	Moderate	Adequate	
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Annual Review of Purchasing Policy	Mar-24	CEO	
Annual Review of Tender Register	Jun-24		EA

		Risk	Control
Inadequate Asset Management		Moderate	Adequate
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Maintain training register	Ongoing	М	WS
Organise SOP's to be completed for all plant and equipment -			
70% completed	Apr-24	М	WS
Develop and maintain footpath plan for Dalwallinu and			
Kalannie townsites	Jun-24	М	WS

		Risk	Control
Inadequate Financial, Accounting or Business Acumen		Moderate	Adequate
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	

Inadequate Natural Environmental Managen	ont	Risk <i>Moderate</i>	Control Adequate
inauequate Natural Environmental Managen	<u>inadequate Natural Environmental Management</u>		Auequate
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	

		Risk	Control
Inadequate Stock Management		Moderate	Adequate
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Monthly Stocktake for fuel & bulk oils	Monthly	MCS/FO	

Inadequate	e supplier/Contract Managemen	<u>t</u>	Risk <i>Moderate</i>	Control Adequate
Current Issues	/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Respo	nsibility

		Risk	Control
Ineffective People Management		Moderate	Effective
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Review Performance Appraisal documentation	Mar-24	CEO	
Review Workforce Plan	Jun-24	CEO	

		Risk	Control
Ineffective management of Facilities/Venues/Events		Moderate	Effective
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	
Develop Event Management Framework - Not completed	Sep-23	MPDS	
Booking forms, facility checks completed for each hire	Ongoing	CSO/MCS	

		Risk	Control
Not meeting Community expectations		Moderate	Effective
Current Issues/Actions/Treatments	Due Date	Respo	nsibility
Conduct Community Satisfaction Survey	Dec-24	C	CEO

Misconduct Feb-24

Intentional activities in excess of authority granted to an employee, which circumvent endorsed policies, procedures or delegated authority. This would include instances of:

- -Relevant authorisations not obtained.
- -Distributing confidential information.
- -Accessing systems and / or applications without correct authority to do so.
- -Misrepresenting data in reports.
- -Theft by an employee
- -Inappropriate use of plant, equipment or machinery
- -Inappropriate use of social media.
- -Inappropriate behaviour at work.
- -Purposeful sabotage

This does not include instances where it was not an intentional breach - refer Errors, Omissions or Delays, or Inaccurate Advice / Information.

This does not include histances where it was not an intentional breach -	ejer Errors, omissions or beid	ys, or maccurate A	avice / injormation.			
Potential causes include;						
Inadequate training of code of conduct \ induction	Greed, gambling or sense	Greed, gambling or sense of entitlement				
Changing of job roles and functions/authorities	Collusion between internal & external parties					
Delegated authority process inadequately implemented	Password sharing	Password sharing				
Disgruntled employees	Sharing of confidential inf	ormation				
	+ -		uni alak			
Lack of internal checks	Low level of Supervisor or		Signt			
Covering up poor work performance	Believe they'll get away w	ith it				
Poor enforcement of policies and procedures	Undue influence from Ma	nager / Councillor				
Information leaked to Tenderers during the Tender process	Poor work culture					
Insubordination	By-passing established ad	ministrative proced	dures			
Key Controls	Туре		Rating			
Delegated authority for procurement	Preventative		Effective			
Delegation control / framework	Detective		Effective			
External Audits	Detective		Effective			
Police clearances	Detective		Effective			
Annual drivers licence checks	Preventative		Effective			
Cash handling policy and procedures	Preventative		Adequate			
IT security access framework (profiles & passwords)	Preventative		Effective			
Induction Process (Code of Conduct)	Preventative		Effective			
Segregation of duties (Financial / I.T.)	Preventative		Effective			
Social Media policy	Preventative		Effective			
Strong management culture (Zero tolerance for misconduct)	Preventative		Effective			
Insurance for loss	Recovery		Effective			
	Overal	l Control Ratings:	Effective			
Consequence Category	Risk Ratin	gs	Rating			
		Consequence:	Moderate (3)			
Reputation / Finance		Likelihood:	Rare (1)			
·	Ov	erall Risk Ratings:	Low			
Indicators	Туре		Benchmark			
Budget variances	Lagging		5%			
Audit notifications	Lagging		Zero			
Incidents warranting dismissal	Lagging					
Wilful breach of segregation of duties	Leading					
Suppliers not being paid or complaints from suppliers (not involved in	Lagging	Zero				
collusion or bribery with staff)	Lagging		2010			
Disregarding or manipulating procurement process for own benefit	Leading Zero		Zero			
Internal and external complaints (PID)	Lagging Zero		Zero			
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	Responsibility			
Review Purchasing Policy and Procurement Process		Mar-24	CEO/MCS			
Review and Document Organisations Controls and Systems		Ongoing	CEO/MCS			
Centralise Checklists, Controls and Procedures		Ongoing	CEO/MCS			

Loss of funds, assets, data or unauthorised access, (whether attempted or successful) by external parties, through any means (including electronic), for the purposes of;

- -Fraud: benefit or gain by deceit

-Malicious Damage: hacking, deleting, breaking or reducing the integrity or -Theft: stealing of data, assets or information	performance of systems			
Potential causes include;				
Inadequate security of equipment/supplies/cash	Inadequate provision for patrons belongings			
Robbery	Lack of supervision			
Scam Invoices	Collusion with intenal staff			
Cyber Crime				
Key Controls	Туре		Rating	
Building Security access controls (alarms, CCCTV, keypad access)	Preventative		Effective	
Equipment storage security access controls (locked after hours and whne unmanned)	Preventative		Effective	
Cash handling processes	Preventative		Effective	
Spare keys in strong room/key cabinet	Preventative		Effective	
Stringent IT security systems	Preventative		Effective	
Insurance for loss	Recovery		Adequate	
Photographic record of assets	Recovery		Effective	
Care when opening emails with attachments	Preventative		Effective	
Fraud & Corruption Control Plan	Preventative		Effective	
	Overall	Control Ratings:	Effective	
Consequence Category	Risk Ratin	gs	Rating	
		Consequence:	Moderate (3)	
Reputation / Finance		Likelihood:	Possible (3)	
Reputation / I mance	Ove	erall Risk Ratings:	Moderate	
Indicators	Туре	В	enchmark	
Cyber breaches	Lagging		Zero	
Insurance Claims	Lagging		Zero	
Number of incidents of theft or fraud	Lagging		Zero	

Current Issues / Actions / Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility
Review Fraud & Corruption Control Plan (review undertaken Sept 2023)	Sep-25	CEO
Review Key Register Quarterly	Mar-24	TSO

Failure to adequately prepare and respond to events that cause disruption to the local community and / or normal business activities. This could be a natural disaster, weather event, or an act carried out by an external party (e.g. sabotage / terrorism).

This includes;

-Lack of (or inadequate) emergency response / business continuity plans.

Review Business Continuity Plan - Council Agenda March 2024

Admin Generator maintained and serviced quarterly (if not activated)

- -Lack of training for specific individuals or availability of appropriate emergency response.
- -Failure in command and control functions as a result of incorrect initial assessment or untimely awareness of incident.
- -Inadequacies in environmental awareness and monitoring of fuel loads, curing rates etc

This does not include disruptions due to IT Systems or infrastructure related failures - refer "Failure of IT & communication systems and infrastructure".

Potential causes include;			
Cyclone, storm, fire, earthquake	Extended utility outage		
Terrorism / sabotage / criminal behaviour	Economic factors		
Epidemic / Pandemic	Loss of Key Staff		
Loss of suppliers	Loss of key infratructure		
Climate change			
Key Controls	Туре		Rating
Regular Local and District Emergency Management Committee meeting (LEMC/DEMC)	Detective		Effective
Community recovery preparation	Preventative		Effective
Community fire prevention education	Preventative		Effective
Current Emergency Management Plan	Preventative		Effective
Business Continuity Framework	Preventative		Effective
Generator (Admin centre)	Recovery		Adequate
T Disaster Recovery Plan	Recovery		Effective
Insurance for Loss	Recovery		Effective
Generator (Rec Centre Precinct)	Recovery		Effective
	Overall	Control Ratings:	Effective
Consequence Category	Risk Ratin	gs	Rating
		Consequence:	Major (4)
Reputation / Finance		Likelihood:	Possible (3)
Reputation / Finance	Ove	erall Risk Ratings:	High
Indicators	Туре		Benchmark
Missed LEMC/DEMC Committee meetings	Lagging		Zero
Non Compliance with EM legislation	Lagging		Zero
Resignations/terminations of key personnel	Lagging		
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	Responsibility
current issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	responsibility

Jun-23

Jun-24

CEO

WS

Damage to buildings, property, plant & equipment (all assets) that does not result in a disruption to business objectives (refer Business Disruption).
This could be a result of a natural disaster or other events, or an act carried out by an external party (inc graffiti and/or vandalism)

Potential causes include;			
Cyclone, storm, fire, earthquake			
Vandalism			
Key Controls	Туре		Rating
Locking of unmanned areas	Preventative		Effective
CCTV	Preventative		Effective
			Effective
			Effective
	Overal	l Control Ratings:	Effective
Consequence Category	Risk Ratings		Rating
	Consequence:		Moderate (3)
Reputation / Finance		Likelihood:	Possible (3)
Reputation / Finance	Ov	erall Risk Ratings:	Moderate
Indicators	Туре		Benchmark
Damage to Physical Assets	Lagging	Zero	
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	Responsibility
All plant to have prestarts before each use or as instructed		Ongoing	All Staff

Errors, omissions, delays

Errors, omissions or delays in operational activities as a result of unintentional errors or failure to follow due process including incomplete, inadequate or inaccuracies in advisory activities to customers or internal staff. Examples include;

- -Incorrect planning, development, building, community safety and Emergency Management advice
- -Incorrect health or environmental advice

Procedure Manuals to be tested regularly

Review checklists for weekly/monthly tasks

- -Inconsistent messages or responses from Customer Service Staff
- -Any advice that is not consistent with legislative requirements or local laws.
- -Human error
- -Inaccurate recording, maintenance, testing or reconciliation of data.
- -Inaccurate data being used for management decision-making and reporting.
- -Delays in service to customers

This excludes process failures caused by inadequate / incomplete procedural documentation - refer "Inadequate Document Management Processes".

Potential causes include;			
Human Error	Incorrect information		
Inadequate formal procedures or training	Miscommunication		
Lack of trained staff	Work pressure/stress		
Poor use of procedures	Lack of understanding		
Unrealistic expectations from community, council or management	Health issues		
Poor internal communications between teams			
	Historical decisions/advice		
Disconnect between financial receipting and systems	Complex legislation		
Changes to legislation			
Key Controls	Туре		Rating
Membership of professinal associations	Detective		Effective
Complaints register	Detective		Effective
Documented information sheets / website information / FAQ's to assist in providing advice to customers	Preventative		Effective
External consultants such as legal	Preventative		Effective
External stakeholder communications (website, news articles)	Preventative		Effective
Staff training program (mentoring, formal & on-the-job)	Preventative		Adequate
Draw information from other Government agencies (DWER etc)	Preventative		Effective
Complaints resolution process	Recovery	Recovery	
Procedure Manuals tested by other Officers	Preventative		Effective
	Overal	l Control Ratings:	Effective
Consequence Category	Risk Ratin	gs	Rating
		Consequence:	Minor (2)
Doguđskim / Finance		Likelihood:	Possible (3)
Reputation / Finance	Ove	erall Risk Ratings:	Moderate
Indicators	Туре		Benchmark
Referral to Ombudsman/Management/Council	Lagging		Zero
Substantiated complaints regarding errors, omissions, delays or			
inaccurate advice/information	Lagging		Zero
Insurance Claims	Lagging		Zero
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	Responsibility

Ongoing

Ongoing

MCS MCS Instability, degradation of performance, or other failure of IT or communication system or infrastructure causing the inability to continue business activities and provide services to the community. This may or may not result in IT Disaster Recovery Plans being invoked. Examples include failures or disruptions caused by:

- -Hardware or software
- -Networks
- -Failures of IT Vendors

This also includes where poor governance results in the breakdown of IT maintenance such as;

-Configuration management

Current Issues / Actions / Treatments

Staged migration from Synergy to Altus commencing 22-23

On hold - IT Vision taken over by Readytech. Waiting new plan

-Performance monitoring

This does not include new system implementations - refer "Inadequate Project / Change Management".

Potential causes include;				
Weather impacts		Non renewal of licenses		
Power outage on site or at service provider	Inadequate IT incidents, problem management & Disaster Reco			
Out dated, inefficient or unsupported hardware or software	Lack of process and training	Lack of process and training		
Incompatibility between operating systems	Vulnerability of user error	Vulnerability of user error		
Cyber crime and viruses	Failure of vendor	Failure of vendor		
Turnover of system adminsitration support	Equipment purchases without inpu	Equipment purchases without input from IT department		
Software vulnerability				
Key Controls	Туре		Rating	
Performance monitoring by contractor	Detective		Effective	
Maintenance program	Preventative		Effective	
Formal IT Infrastructure replacement / refresh program	Preventative		Effective	
IT security access protocols and firewalls	Preventative		Effective	
Service level agreement with contractor / Vendor	Preventative		Effective	
Disaster Recovery Plan	Recovery		Adequate	
Multiple data back-up systems	Recovery		Effective	
Generator	Recovery		Effective	
UPS (90min)	Recovery		Effective	
Computer/Server/UPS Replacement Plan	Preventative		Effective	
Moving Syerngy programs to Altus	Preventative		Adequate	
	Overall Control	Ratings:	Effective	
Consequence Category	Risk Ratings		Rating	
	Conse	equence:	Major (4)	
Reputation / Finance	Lik	relihood:	Unlikely (2)	
Reputation / Finance	Overall Risk	Ratings:	Moderate	
Indicators	Туре	Type Benchmark		
Cyber breaches	Lagging	Z	ero	
Non availability of network infrastructure during business hours	Lagging	1 day	per year	
System downtime	Lagging	1 v	veek	

Due Date

Ongoing

Responsibility

MCS

Failure to fulfil statutory, regulatory or compliance requirements

Feb-24

Failue to correctly identify, interpret, assess, respond and communicate laws and regulations as a result of an inadequate complaince framework. This could result in fines, penalties, litigation or increase scrutiny from regulators or agencies. This includes, new or proposed regulatory and legislative changes, in addition to the failure to maintain updated legal documentation (internal & public domain) to reflect changes. This does not include: Issues in relation to WHS, procurement, HR based legislation

Potential causes include;			
Lack of training, awareness and knowledge	Lack of legal expertise		
Staff turnover	No Compliance Officer or person responsible for Compliance over and enforcement		
Inadequate record keeping/failure of corporate electronic systems	Breakdowns in the tender or procurement process		
Ineffective polciies & processes	Ineffective monitoring of changes to legislation		
Impulsive decision making	Attidunal problems		
Councillor turnover			
Key Controls	Туре		Rating
Compliance Audit Return (DLGC)	Detective		Effective
External Auditor reviews (compliance)	Detective		Effective
Membership of professional associations (AIBS, Building Commission)	Detective		Effective
Strict tender and procurement process (including eQuotes)	Preventative		Adequate
Compliance framework / calendar & standard operating procedures	Preventative		Effective
Subscriptions (WALGA / DLGC / LGMA and other peak bodies)	Preventative		Effective
Audit Committee	Preventative		Effective
Standardised forms & checksheets	Preventative		Adequate
State Administrative Tribunal / Ombudsman	Recovery		Adequate
Corporate Governance Calendar Checklist	Preventative		Effective
	Overa	II Control Ratings:	Effective
Consequence Category	Risk Rati	ngs	Rating
		Consequence:	Moderate (3)
Reputation / Finance		Likelihood:	Rare (1)
Reputation / Finance	0	verall Risk Ratings:	Low
Indicators	Туре		Benchmark
Internal monitoring of operations and procedures	Leading		
Audit notifications	Leading		
Increased scrutiny from regulators or agencies	Lagging		
Litigation, fines or penalties	Lagging		
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	Responsibility
			CEO

Providing inaccurate advice/information			Feb-24
Incomplete, inadequate or inaccuracies in professional advisory activities to	o customers or internal stat	f.	
Potential causes include;			
Unqualified staff			
Not following procedures			
Key Controls	Туре		Rating
Employ qualified Staff	Preventative		Effective
Ensure staff are following procedures	Preventative		Effective
Overall Control Ratings: Effective			
Consequence Category	Risk Ratings Ra		Rating
		Consequence:	Moderate (3)
Reputation / Finance		Likelihood:	Possible (3)
Reputation / Finance	Ove	erall Risk Ratings:	Moderate
Indicators	Туре		Benchmark
Number of Complaints	Lagging Zero		Zero
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	Responsibility

Inadequate Project/Change Management

Inadequate analysis, design, delivery and / or status reporting of change initiatives, resulting in additional expenses, time delays or scope changes. This includes:

- -Inadequate change management framework to manage and monitor change activities.
- -Inadequate understanding of the impact of project change on the business.
- -Failures in the transition of projects into standard operations.
- -Failure to implement new systems
- -Inadequate handover process

Potential causes include;			
	Fuccesive growth (to a many projects)		
ack of communication and consultation	Excessive growth (too many projects)		
ack of investment	Inadequate monitoring and review		
neffective management of expectations	Project risks not managed effectively		
nadequate project planning	Loack of project methodology knowledge and reporting requireme		
Failures of project Vendors/Contractors	Geographic or transport difficulties sourcing	g equipment/materials	
External consultants underquoting on costs	Pandemic - escalating costs and contractor s	shortage	
Key Controls	Туре	Rating	
Post-project debriefs	Detective	Adequate	
Adhere to formal project management methodology	Preventative	Not Rated	
Community engagement policy and framework	Preventative	Adequate	
Preferred list of contractors	Preventative	Adequate	
Risk assessments are conducted before, during and after handover	Preventative	Not Rated	
Stakeholder meetings and consultation	Preventative	Effective	
Follow Project Management Methodology	Preventative	Effective	
mplement Risk Assessment Process for all Projects	Preventative	Effective	
Photos are taken during projects and completed works	Recovery	Effective	
	Overall Control Ratings:	Effective	
Consequence Category	Risk Ratings	Rating	
	Consequence:	Minor (2)	
Reputation / Finance	Likelihood:	Possible (3)	
reputation / Finance	Overall Risk Ratings.	Moderate	
Indicators	Туре	Benchmark	
Missed deadlines/milestones	Lagging	10%	
Budget overruns/blow outs	Lagging	5%	
Failed objectives	Lagging	Zero	
Deviations from the project scope	Lagging	Zero	
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility	

Inadequate Organisation and Community Emergency Management

Feb-24

Failure to adequately conduct Prevention, Preparation, Response and Recovery (PPRR) in the organisation structure and community elements, inclusive of the management of all emergencies.

inclusive of the management of all emergencies.			
Potential causes include;			
Lack of (or inadequate) emergency response plans	Failure in command and control functions as a result of incorrect in assessment or untimely awareness of incident		
Lack of training to specific individuals or availability of appropriate emergency response	Inadequacies in environme	ental awareness of	fuel loads, curing rates etc
Key Controls	Туре		Rating
Response Plans reviewed	Preventative		Adequate
Training for Staff	Preventative		Not Rated
	Overall	Control Ratings:	Adequate
Consequence Category	Risk Ratin	gs	Rating
		Consequence:	Minor (2)
Reputation / Finance			Possible (3)
Reputation / Pinance			Moderate
Indicators	Type Benchmark		Benchmark
Not well managed emergency	Lagging zero		zero
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	Responsibility
Lack of resources in LG			CEO

Inadequate Document Management Processes

Failure to adequately capture, store, archive, retrieve, provide or dispose of documentation. This includes:

- -Contact lists.
- -Procedural documents, personnel files, complaints.
- -Applications, proposals or documents.

Current Issues / Actions / Treatments

Annual Refresher for all Employees on Records Management

Undertake monthly records audits

- -Contracts.
- -Forms or requests.

Spreadsheet/database/document corruption or loss	Outdated record keeping practices			
Inadequate access and / or security levels	Lack of system/application knowledge			
Inadequate Storage facilities (including climate control)	High workloads and time pressures			
High Staff turnover	Standard Operating Policies not followed			
Incompatible systems	Incomplete Authorisation Trails	Incomplete Authorisation Trails		
Lack of awareness of the State Records Act	Lack of awareness of use of network dri	ves and fo	olders	
Historical legacies				
Key Controls	Туре		Rating	
Record Keeping Plan	Detective		Adequate	
Records traning on Induction	Preventative		Adequate	
Annual Refresher on Records Management	Preventative		Not Rated	
Documentation Management Audits	Detective		Effective	
Records Management Procedures	Preventative		Adequate	
Archival processes	Preventative		Adequate	
Document disaster recovery plan	Recovery		Adequate	
Electronic records back up (Synergy)	Recovery		Adequate	
Training for Staff	Preventative		Adequate	
	Overall Control Ratio	ngs:	Adequate	
Consequence Category	Risk Ratings		Rating	
	Conseque	nce:	Moderate (3)	
Donutation / Finance	Likeliho	ood:	Possible (3)	
Reputation / Finance	Overall Risk Rat	ings:	Moderate	
Indicators	Туре	Type Bench		
Number of documents without relevant attachments	Leading	Z	'ero	
Number of outstnading records per month	Lagging	<10 pe	er month	
Complaints relating to lost documentation	Lagging	<2 pe	r month	

Due Date

Monthly

Oct-24

Responsibility

MCS

CEO

Inadequate employee and visitor safety and security Feb-24 Non compliance with Work, Health & Safety (WHS) Regulations and physical security requirements Potential causes include; Inadequate Policy, Frameworks, Systems and Structure to prevent the injury of visitors, staff, contractors and/or tenants in the provision of a Public Liability Claims, due to negligence or personal injury working or business environment Inadequate security protection measures in place for buildings, depots Employee Liability Claims due to negligence or personal injury and other places of work **Key Controls** Type Rating Online and Part B Employee Inductions Preventative **Adequate** Online and Part B Contractor Inductions Preventative **Adequate** Onlien and Part B Volunteer Inductions **Adequate** Preventative Sign in register in Admin Centre Preventative **Adequate** Depot gates locked when no one in attendance Preventative **Adequate Overall Control Ratings: Adequate** Rating **Risk Ratings Consequence Category** Consequence: Moderate (3) Likelihood: Unlikely (2) Reputation / Finance **Overall Risk Ratings:** Moderate

Type

Lagging

Benchmark

Zero

Responsibility

Management

Due Date

ongoing

Indicators

Employee/Contractors/Volunteers with no completed induction

Check all contractors have been inducted prior to commencing work

Current Issues / Actions / Treatments

Inadequate engagement of Community/Stakeholders/Elected Members

Feb-24

Failure to maintain effective working relationships with the Community (including local Media), Stakeholders, Key Private Sector Companies, Government Agencies and / or Elected Members. This includes activities where communication, feedback or consultation is required and where it is in the best interests to do so. For example;

-Following up on any access & inclusion issues

Relationship breakdowns with community groups

Leadership inattention to current issues

- -Infrastructure Projects
- -Local planning initiatives

Potential causes include;

-Strategic planning initiatives

This does not include instances whereby Community expectations have not been met for standard service provisions such as Community Events, Library Services and / or Bus/Transport services.

Short lead times

Miscommunication / poor communication

	Moderate Benchmark Zero Responsibility CEO CEO	
Due Date	Benchmark Zero Responsibility	
	Benchmark Zero	
	Benchmark	
	Benchmark	
Overall Risk Ratings:	Moderate	
Overall Risk Ratings:		
Likelihood:	Possible (3)	
Consequence:		
Risk Ratings		
Overall Control Ratings:	Adequate	
ve	Effective	
	Effective	
ve	Effective	
ve	Effective	
2	Effective	
Type Rating		
Media attention		
Inadequate involvement with, or support of community groups		
	ve	

Inadequate Procurement, Disposal or Tende	Feb-24			
Failure in the procurement, acquisiton, acceptance or disposal process for assets as governed by the Act.				
Potential causes include;				
Lack of formalised process to identify specific requirements prior to procurement Acceptance of assets wihtout reference to a formalised process to ensure correct receipt and/or notification of receipt				
Disposing of P&E (either through sale or decommissioning) that did not meet exectations from either a time or financial perspective	Failures in the Tender process from RTF preparation, advertising, due diligence and awarding			
Key Controls	Type Rating			
Council's Purchasing Policy	Preventative		Effective	
Tender register	Detective		Effective	
RFQ Register	Detective		Effective	
Internal Audit by third party to the process	Detective		Effective	
	Overall	Control Ratings:	Adequate	
Consequence Category	Risk Ratin	gs	Rating	
		Consequence:	Minor (2)	
Deputation / Finance		Likelihood:	Possible (3)	
Reputation / Finance	Overall Risk Ratings: Moderate			
Indicators	Туре	E	Benchmark	

Current Issues / Actions / Treatments	Due Date	Responsibility
Annual Review of Purchasing Policy	Mar-24	CEO
Annual Review of Tender Register	Jun-24	EA

Lagging

Zero

Number of purchases not adhered to policy/process

MWS

MWS

MWS

Ongoing

Apr-24

Jun-24

Inadequate Asset Management

Failure or reduction in service of infrastructure assets, plant, equipment or machinery.

These include fleet, buildings, roads, playgrounds, boat ramps and all other assets during their lifecycle from procurement to disposal. Areas included in the scope are;

- -Inadequate design (not fit for purpose)
- -Ineffective usage (down time)
- -Outputs not meeting expectations
- -Inadequate maintenance activities.
- -Inadequate financial management and planning (capital renewal plan).

Organise SOP's to be completed for all plant and equipment - 70% completed

Develop and maintain footpath plan for Dalwallinu and Kalannie townsites

It does not include issues with the inappropriate use of the Plant, Equipment or Machinery. Refer Misconduct.

Potential causes include;					
Skill level & behaviour of operators	Unavailability of parts	Unavailability of parts			
Lack of trained staff	Lack of formal or appropris	Lack of formal or appropriate scheduling (maintenance / inspections)			
Outdated equipment	Unexpected breakdowns				
Insufficient budget to maintain or replace assets					
Key Controls	Туре	Туре			
Asset Management System (Synergy)	Detective		Adequate		
Asset Management System (Roads - RAMM)	Detective		Adequate		
10 Yr Plant Replacement Program	Preventative		Effective		
Staff skills training and licencing	Preventative		Effective		
10 Year Building Maintenance Plan	Preventative		Effective		
Insurance for loss	Recovery	Recovery			
Routine maintenance program (roads, footpaths)	Preventative	Preventative			
Routine maintenance program (parks, reserves)	Preventative	Preventative			
Tender register	Detective	Detective			
Staff training for all large plant	Preventative	Preventative			
RFQ Register	Detective	Detective			
Internal Audit by third party to the process	Detective		Effective		
	Overall	Control Ratings:	Adequate		
Consequence Category	Risk Ratin	gs	Rating		
		Consequence:	Minor (2)		
		Likelihood:	Possible (3)		
Reputation / Finance	Ove	Overall Risk Ratings:			
Indicators	Туре	E	Benchmark		
Accidents and/or damage to equipment	Lagging		Zero		
Breakdowns	Lagging	2	per month		
			_		
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	Responsibility		

Inadequate Financial, Accounting or Business Acumen

Feb-24

Inadequate identification or quantification of financial exposure or risk associated with decisions to invest in land transactions, financial derivatives or investments or poor long term forecasting/assumptions.

Potential causes include;				
Poor credit management (short or long term borrowing restricting capacity or flexibility)	Ineffective market analysis			
Ineffective Business Planning (poor scope/competition analysis)	Ineffective financial modelling, forecasting and projection techniques/processes			
Key Controls	Туре	Rating		
Project Plans for all projects	Preventative	Adequate		
	Overal	Il Control Ratings:	Adequate	
Consequence Category	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratings		
	Consequence:		Minor (2)	
Reputation / Finance		Possible (3)		
nepatation, rinance	Ov	Moderate		
Indicators	Туре		Benchmark	
Poor financial decisions	Lagging		Zero	
Poor project planning	Lagging		Zero	
	•			
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	Responsibility	

Inadequate Natural Environmental Management

Inadequate prevention, identification, enforcement and management of environmental issues.

The scope includes;

- -Lack of adequate planning and management of coastal erosion issues.
- -Failure to identify and effectively manage contaminated sites (including groundwater usage).
- -Waste facilities (landfill / transfer stations).
- -Weed & mosquito / Vector control.

Current Issues / Actions / Treatments

- -Ineffective management of water sources (reclaimed, potable)
- -Illegal dumping.
- -Illegal clearing / land use.

Potential causes include;					
Inadequate management of landfill sites	Inadequate reporting / ove	Inadequate reporting / oversight frameworks			
Lack of understanding / knowledge	Community apathy	Community apathy			
Inadequate local laws / planning schemes	Differing land tenure (land	occupancy or owne	ership conditions)		
Prolific extractive industry (sand, limestone, etc)	Competing land use (growi	ng population vs co	nservation)		
Poor management of contaminated sites	Weed and pest manageme	nt difficulties	<u> </u>		
Clandestine drug labs disposing of chemicals illegally	Bio-diversity hotspots				
Weather events / natural disasters	Fuel or chemical spills				
·	Illegal firewood collection /	/ hurning / hunting			
Climate change	megal mewood conection /	burning / nunting			
Complex legislation					
Key Controls	Туре		Rating		
Soil and water testing	Detective	Detective			
Support environment and land care groups	Preventative	Preventative			
Conduct environmental health inspections	Preventative	Preventative			
Litter collection schedules	Preventative				
Encourage recycling	Recovery		Effective		
	Overall	Control Ratings:	Adequate		
Consequence Category	Risk Rating	gs	Rating		
		Consequence:	Minor (2)		
		Likelihood:	Possible (3)		
Reputation / Finance	Ove	Overall Risk Ratings: Mod			
Indicators	Туре	В	enchmark		
Tonnes per capita recyclable generation	Leading	>	5 Tonnes		
Decline in vegetation cover	Lagging	5% since last (GIS data set (12 years)		
Number of validated environmental incidents	Lagging	5	per year		
Complaints from environmental groups	Lagging				

Due Date

Responsibility

Inadequate Stock Management

Feb-24

Lack of stock to ensure continuity of operations or oversupply of stock resulting in dormant (non performing) assets. Stock includes consumables, stationery, spare parts and other items used for operational purposes.

Potential causes include;				
Incorrect recording on fuel usage sheets	Lack of stock manageme	ent		
Key Controls	Туре		Rating	
Fuel Audits	Detective		Adequate	
Cleaning Materials Distibution List	Detective		Adequate	
Stationery Monitoring	Detective		Adequate	
	Overd	all Control Ratings:	Adequate	
Consequence Category	Risk Rat	Risk Ratings		
Reputation / Finance		Consequence:		
		Likelihood:	Possible (3)	
Reputation / Finance	o	verall Risk Ratings.	: Moderate	
Indicators	Туре		Benchmark	
Not being able to account for monthly fuel discrepancies	Lagging	<50	litres per month	
Cleaning materials utilised too quickly	Lagging	 		
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	Responsibility	
Monthly Stocktake for fuel & bulk oils		Monthly	MCS/FO	

Inadequate management of external Suppliers, Contractors, IT Vendors or Consultants engaged for core operations. This includes issues that arise from the ongoing supply of services or failures in contract management & monitoring processes. This also includes:

- Contract issues (contracts awarded to one supplier)
- Vendor sustainability

lequate contract man fective monitoring of of planning and cla orical contracts remand ted availability of su	deliverables rity of requirements aining			
of planning and cla	rity of requirements aining	S		
orical contracts rema	aining	S		
ted availability of su	opliers			
Туре		Rating		
Preventative		Effective		
Preventative		Effective		
Preventative		Effective		
Detective		Effective		
Overall	Control Ratings:	Adequate		
Risk Rating	gs	Rating		
	Consequence:	Moderate (3)		
	Likelihood:	Unlikely (2)		
Ove	erall Risk Ratings:	Moderate		
Туре	Benchmark			
Lagging		Zero		
Lagging		Zero		
	Due Date R	esponsibility		
		Lagging		

Ineffective People Management

Failure to effectively manage and lead human resources (full-time, part-time, casuals, temporary and volunteers).

This includes:

- -Not having appropriately qualified or experienced people in the right roles.
- -Insufficient staff numbers to achieve objectives.
- -Breaching employee regulations.
- -Discrimination, harassment & bullying in the workplace.
- -Poor employee wellbeing (causing stress).

Review Performance Appraisal documentation

Review Workforce Plan

- -Key person dependencies without effective succession planning in place.
- -Industrial activity

-Industrial activity.						
Potential causes include;						
Leadership failures	Ineffective performance m	Ineffective performance management programs or procedures				
Key / single-person dependencies	Limited staff availability - I	Limited staff availability - labour market conditions				
Poor internal communications / relationships	Inadequate induction prac	Inadequate induction practices				
Ineffective Human Resources policies, procedures and practices	Inconsistent application of	Inconsistent application of policies				
Key Controls	Туре	Туре				
Annual Performance Appraisals	Detective		Effective			
Encurage staff social activities	Preventative		Inadequate			
Induction process on commencement	Preventative		Effective			
WHS Induction on commencement	Preventative		Effective			
Workforce Plan	Preventative		Adequate			
Employee Assistance Program	Preventative		Effective			
Staff training programs as required	Preventative		Adequate			
	Overall	Control Ratings:	Effective			
Consequence Category	Risk Ratin	Risk Ratings R				
		Consequence:	Minor (2)			
Reputation / Finance		Likelihood:	Likely (4)			
neputation / I mance	Ove	erall Risk Ratings:	Moderate			
Indicators	Туре		Benchmark			
Employee Satisfaction Survey	Leading					
Suitable budget for training	Leading		Zero			
Average absenteeism	Lagging	10	% per annum			
Employee turnover	Lagging		% per annum			
Workers Compensation (Stress) Claims	Lagging		Zero			
Suitable budget for training	Lagging		Zero			
		1				
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	Responsibility			

Mar-24

Jun-24

CEO

CEO

Failure to effectively manage the day to day operations of facilities, venues and / or events. This includes;

- -Inadequate procedures in place to manage quality or availability.
- -Poor crowd control
- -Ineffective signage

Potential causes include;

Illegal / excessive alcohol consumption

-Booking issues

Double bookings

- -Stressful interactions with hirers / users (financial issues or not adhering to rules of use of facility)
- -Inadequate oversight or provision of peripheral services (e.g.. cleaning / maintenance)

msumerent time between	reen bookings for eleaning of maintenance				
Difficulty accessing facilit	Difficulty accessing facilities / venues.				
Poor service from contractors (such as catering or cleaning)					
Renovations					
Animal Contamination					
Туре		Rating			
Preventative		Effective			
Detective		Effective			
Preventative		Effective			
Preventative		Effective			
Preventative		Effective			
Preventative		Effective			
Preventative		Effective			
Detective		Effective			
Overa	Effective				
Risk Ratings		Rating			
	Consequence	: Minor (2)			
	Likelihood	Possible (3)			
0	Moderate				
Туре		Benchmark			
Leading	Within 20%	6 of anticipated numbers			
Lagging		<5 per annum			
Lagging	Zero				
	Due Date	Responsibility			
	Due Date Sep-23	Responsibility MPDS			
	Difficulty accessing facility Poor service from contract Renovations Animal Contamination Type Preventative Detective Preventative Preventative Preventative Preventative Preventative Preventative Preventative Preventative Detective Type Risk Rati Type Leading Lagging	Poor service from contractors (such as cate Renovations Animal Contamination Type Preventative Preventative Preventative Preventative Preventative Preventative Poetective Preventative Preventative Overall Control Ratings: Consequence Likelihood: Overall Risk Ratings Type Leading Lagging Within 209			

Traffic congestion or vehicles blocking entry or exit

Insufficient time between bookings for cleaning or maintenance

Not meeting Community expectations

Feb-24

Failure to provide expected levels of service, events and benefit to the community. This includes where precedents have set Community perceptions or where services are generally expected. This will normally result in reputational impacts, however may have financial consoderations with re-work, compensations or refunds.

Potential causes include;					
Reducing the number or quality of events	Loss of new or ongoing funding requirements for projects, events and other initiatives				
Withdrawing support (or not supporting) other initiatives to provide relief/benefits to the community	Technology expectations				
Key Controls	Туре		Rating		
Annual Street Party is held	Preventative		Effective		
Youth Programs are funded	Preventative		Effective		
Communication Plan adhered to	Preventative		Effective		
	Overa	ll Control Ratings:	Effective		
Consequence Category	Risk Ratio	ngs	Rating		
		Consequence:			
Reputation / Finance		Possible (3)			
Reputation / Finance	Ov	Moderate			
Indicators	Туре		Benchmark		
Annual Street Party held	Leading				
Youth Programs funded	Leading				
Current Issues / Actions / Treatments		Due Date	Responsibility		
Conduct Community Satisfaction Survey		Dec-24	CEO		

7	ς	CH	FD	Ш	ING	OF	M	FF1	ΓIN	G
,		ч	ᅟᅟ	UL	IIV.	UГ	IVI			

The next Audit & Risk Committee meeting will be on a date to be decided.

8 CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Chairperson closed the meeting at _____am.

